HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 24 FEBRUARY 1972

Remimeo All Staff Staff Hats

INJUSTICE

Any staff member who does not know Ethics policy is a clay pigeon. "Clay pigeons" are used to throw up in the air and shoot at.

The cycle is, goofs are made. The real WHY is not located or handled and when this happens angry executives, who have to pick up the ball, start shooting.

Staff members are expected to do their jobs and there are no excuses at all for not doing so.

But it sometimes happens that injustices occur. Goofs happen, then Conditions are assigned, persons are removed from post or otherwise bashed about.

Usually this occurs because the staff member is pitifully ignorant of his rights.

Justice is expected and has definite use. When a state of discipline does not exist the whole group caves in. It has been noted continually that the failure of a group began with a lack of or loss of discipline. Without it the group and its members die.

Most people think discipline is bad because most wog discipline is simply harsh injustice.

Most people do not even know that "justice" means fair and equitable treatment for both the group and individual.

Commercial firms and credit companies have a level of injustice that is hard to believe. One is never faced by his accusers and may not even know he is accused until he is shot.

Psychiatry, as exposed in the brilliant book "Manufacture of Madness" by Dr. Szasz use the "justice" procedures developed in the days of witch burning, unknown accusers, opinions only, punished before being tried etc. Psychiatric influence on contemporary court thinking may well be a major reason for the present disturbed condition of society.

Lord forbid we ever fall into such barbarism ourselves.

It is injustice that destroys discipline.

When you indicate the wrong by-passed charge on a case the case caves in. When you accuse wrongly and punish unjustly the group caves in.

Many governments are so touchy about their divine rightness in judicial matters that you hardly open your mouth before they burst into uncontrolled violence. Getting into police hands is a catastrophe in its own right in many places, even when one is merely the plaintiff, much less the accused. Thus social disturbance is at maximum in such areas.

Only where a group has a buffer against injustice is it safe to use discipline.

Posts are valuable to staff. Sudden removals, false condition assignments and other actions can do more harm than they achieve good.

Reversely you can't expect a staff to fall all over a goofing staff member whose lack of performance upsets the lines and production. It does far more harm than good to let the situation continue without taking action.

Between the points of harsh injustice and required discipline there is a happy center ground where discipline, no matter how <u>severe</u> is just and where goofs are not tolerated.

The achievement of this middle ground depends less upon educating and restraining executives than upon the staff member knowing his rights and himself using them.

This is hard to get across because some senior can say "that policy doesn't apply in this case" or "you report this and I'll have your head" or "I don't care what your rights are, you are REMOVED!"

Then again a review Committee of Evidence can be so slow it never handles in time or it never gets held.

We are living - or trying to - in a very unjust culture. So most of our basic training was in injustice not in correct discipline.

So you cannot look for a total perfection of justice. But we can make it better and less unjust.

RECOURSE

"Recourse" means "A turning or applying to a person or thing for aid or security".

Not only can one turn to a person but one can turn to a thing or a procedure to set the matter right. Eved.

Now what is this point "they sought no correction" of this obviously illegal order? They simply did not make it known. They did not have to fly in the face of the person. They did not have to refuse.

The Comm Ev should have asked them "did you seek any recourse to being given an illegal order?" If the answer was NO, they were a party to the damage. If the answer was YES and they could prove it they would have to be found innocent of intent and the senior would have caught it.

What form would the recourse have taken?

A simple knowledge report to the Ethics Officer or the Master at Arms "I have been given different orders in conflict with my post assignment and have made it known but am having to follow the illegal order," would have proofed them against severe action.

By NOT taking recourse the junior thereby made himself a party to the act.

The above is not very effective as it does not correct the order and so <u>some</u> penalty would have leveled at the person.

At the other end of MAXIMUM RECOURSE there would have been a telex to the Assistant Aide at a CLO or an Aide or Action Bureau at Flag stating "JOE BLOW RD WILL NOT LET ME TAKE MY POST AS HAS AS ORDERLD BUT HAS MADE ME AN AUDITOR."

Whether this was acted on or not, it would absolve the person. He or she would have "blown the whistle on" his or her illegal orderer. He could not be punished for it or for taking the auditor post instead of the ordered HAS post.

To understand RECOURSE one would have to know the seniority of orders.. Policy (in P/Ls) and HCO Bs come first. Then there is Flag, Continental and local in descending order.

The org board tells one at a local level. The higher names are the more senior.

STATISTICS

The best defence against any ethics action is good statistics. If one has no personal statistic he is in a bad position and a very eligible clay pigeon if something goes wrong.

When personal statistics is challenged as "unreal" or "doesn't fit" or "falsified" one should have his section or department or divisional stat in very good shape indeed and point to that (and not permit it to be bad) as it is more visible and reliable.

RLQUESTED COMM EV

Anyone can request a Comm Ev on himself for anything. An example would be an interne removed from course who does not believe he failed. He can request a Comm Ev. If he can prove he didn't he has to be restored.

If one is being shot at or regarded with contempt through false accusations his first action would be to ask the Ethics Officer or MA for a 3rd party investigation and if that didn't work, request a Comm Ev to clear his name or repute. Or to get himself rightfully shot for that matter.

Example: Someone is being kicked around. He can't handle it himself. So he requests a Comm Ev.

This MUST be given him. And it must be SWIFT.

SENIORS

A person has a right to be tried only by seniors in rank or status.

Example: A Class VIII, on a tech matter, could not be legally tried by a Comm Ev whose chairman was a Class Zero.

Example: The Tech Sec cannot be tried by a Comm Ev chairmanned by a D of P or a Court held by one of his auditors.

An Executive Director or LRH Comm have to be tried by the next senior org and cannot be tried in their own orgs at all.

There have been flagrant violations of all these things. A C/O and LRH Comm were once ripped off and sent home by being told it was "an LRH order", which it was not. They did not stay on post and do the normal things like "Let's see it in writing, signed." Or "We must query Flag" or "We request a Comm Ev." By NOT staying on post, by letting themselves be ripped off, by not using ANY recourse, they were actually guilty of desertion of post. They caught it from all sides BY NOT USING THEIR RIGHTS.

CONDITIONS

Gold Stars and Green Stars have certain rights, in assignment of their own conditions. When they let these be ignored or flouted without taking any recourse they are guilty twice - they permitted injustice plus.whatever else they did.

SUMMARY

This is not a full list of procedures and legalities.

All that is being set out here is that

DISCIPLINE IS NEEDFUL IN A GROUP.

INJUSTICE INJURES DISCIPLINE.

INJUSTICE OCCURS WHEN PEOPLE FERMIT IT BY NOT KNOWING OR IGNORING THEIR RIGHTS AND NOT SEEKING RECOURSE OR REDRESS OF WRONGS.

It is a pitiful scene where executives and ethics officers don't know or use correct justice and where the staff members are ignorant of their rights or don't use them.

The result is a complete loss of discipline no matter how many get hanged! Or how many don't.

So where ETHICS IS OUT one really need only check out Execs and Ethics Officers on Ethics policy and check out the staff on their rights and some semblance of order will occur in the most disturbed scene.

THIS is the remedy for better discipline and prosperity in a group. Not more shootings of clay pigeons.

It can be made a calm happy scene.

L. RON HUBBARD FOUNDER

LRH:ne Copyright (c) 1972 by L. Ron Hubbard ALL RIGHTS RESERVED